

Freshwater Methane Emissions Offset the Continental Carbon Sink

David Bastviken,^{1*} Lars J. Tranvik,² John A. Downing,³ Patrick M. Crill,⁴ Alex Enrich-Prast⁵

A cornerstone of our understanding of the contemporary global carbon cycle is that the terrestrial land surface is an important greenhouse gas (GHG) sink (1, 2). The global land sink is estimated to be 2.6 ± 1.7 Pg of C year⁻¹ (variability \pm range, excluding C emissions because of deforestation) (1). Lakes, impoundments, and rivers are parts of the terrestrial landscape, but they have not yet been included in the terrestrial GHG balance (3, 4). Available data suggest, however, that freshwaters can be substantial sources of CO₂ (3, 5) and CH₄ (6). Over time, soil carbon reaches freshwaters by lateral hydrological transport, where it can meet several fates, including burial in sediments, further transport to the sea, or evasion to the atmosphere as CO₂ or CH₄ (7). CH₄ emissions may be small in terms of carbon, but CH₄ is a more potent GHG than CO₂ over century time scales. This study indicates that global CH₄ emissions expressed as CO₂ equivalents correspond to at least 25% of the estimated terrestrial GHG sink.

CH₄ can be emitted from freshwaters through several different pathways, including ebullition

(bubble flux from sediments), diffusive flux, and plant-mediated transport through emergent aquatic plants (6). Additional pathways may be important for hydroelectric reservoirs, such as emissions upon passage through turbines and downstream of reservoirs (8, 9). We compiled CH₄ emission estimates from 474 freshwater ecosystems for which the emission pathways were clearly defined (Table 1) (10).

By using recent data on the area and distribution of inland waters (11, 12), we estimate the total CH₄ emission from freshwaters to be 103 Tg of CH₄ year⁻¹ (Table 1). Expressed as CO₂ equivalents (eq), this corresponds to 0.65 Pg of C (CO₂ eq) year⁻¹ or 25% of the estimated land GHG sink, assuming that 1 kg of CH₄ corresponds to 25 kg of CO₂ over a 100-year period (13). Ebullition and plant flux, which are both poorly represented in the data set, dominate the other flux pathways that have been studied more frequently (Table 1). Ebullition is most likely to be underestimated because it is episodic and not representatively captured by the usual short-term measurements (6). Accordingly, our global estimate of freshwater CH₄ emissions is probably

Table 1. Freshwater CH₄ emissions (Emiss., in Tg CH₄ year⁻¹) estimated from average areal estimates (flux m⁻² year⁻¹) times the areal estimates for different latitudes (10). Total open water is the sum of open water fluxes, that is, ebullition, diffusive flux, and flux when CH₄ stored in the water column is emitted upon lake overturn (Stored). *n* and CV (%) denote the sample size (number of systems) and the coefficient of variation. Note the small sample size for many large emission values. The total sums of the yearly fluxes are expressed in Tg CH₄. Lake and river areas are from (11); reservoir areas, from (12). Plant fluxes (plant-mediated emission) are according to (10).

Latitude	Fluxes												Area (km ²)
	Total open water			Ebullition			Diffusive			Stored			
	Emiss.	<i>n</i>	CV	Emiss.	<i>n</i>	CV	Emiss.	<i>n</i>	CV	Emiss.	<i>n</i>	CV	
<i>Lakes</i>													
>66°	6.8	17	72	6.4	17	74	0.7	60	37				288,318
>54°–66°	6.6	5	155	9.1	9	60	1.1	271	185	0.1	217	2649	1,533,084
25°–54°	31.6	15	127	15.8	15	177	4.8	33	277	3.7	36	125	1,330,264
<24°	26.6	29	51	22.2	28	54	3.1	29	97	21.3	1		585,536 [*]
<i>Reservoirs</i>													
>66°	0.2 [†]												35,289
>54°–66°	1.0	24	176	1.8	2	140	0.2	4	93				161,352
25°–54°	0.7 [‡]												116,922
<24°	18.1	11	87										186,437
<i>Rivers</i>													
>66°	0.1	1											38,895
>54°–66°	0.2 [†]												80,009
25°–54°	0.3	20	302										61,867
<24°	0.9 [‡]												176,856
Sum open water	93.1	116		55.3	71		9.9	397		25.1	254		
Plant flux	10.2												
Sum all	103.3												

*Likely underestimated. For comparison, the mean flooded areas for the major South American savanna wetlands and the lowland Amazon (below 500 m above sea level) are 115,620 km² and 750,000 km², respectively (14). †Estimated assuming similar emissions per area unit at latitudes >54°. ‡Estimated assuming similar emissions per area unit at latitudes from 0° to 54°.

conservative. For further discussion of the results, see supporting online material (SOM) text.

This study indicates that CH₄ emissions from freshwaters can substantially affect the global land GHG sink estimate. Moreover, proper consideration of ebullition and plant-mediated emission will most likely result in increased future estimates of CH₄ emission. Combining the present CH₄ emission estimate of 0.65 Pg of C (CO₂ eq) year⁻¹ with the most recent estimate of freshwater CO₂ emissions, 1.4 Pg of C (CO₂ eq) year⁻¹ (5)—together corresponding to 79% of the estimated land GHG sink—it becomes clear that freshwaters are an important component of the continental GHG balance. Accordingly, the terrestrial GHG sink may be smaller than currently believed, and data on GHG release from inland waters are needed in future revision of net continental GHG fluxes.

References and Notes

- K. L. Denman *et al.*, in *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, S. Solomon *et al.*, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2007), chap. 7.
- S. Luysaert *et al.*, *Nature* **455**, 213 (2008).
- T. J. Battin *et al.*, *Nat. Geosci.* **2**, 598 (2009).
- J. J. Cole *et al.*, *Ecosystems* **10**, 171 (2007).
- L. J. Tranvik *et al.*, *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **54**, 2298 (2009).
- D. Bastviken, J. J. Cole, M. L. Pace, L. J. Tranvik, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **18**, GB4009 (2004).
- G. Benoy, K. Cash, E. McCauley, F. Wrona, *Environ. Res.* **15**, 175 (2007).
- F. Guérin *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **33**, L21407 (2006).
- A. Kemeses, B. R. Forsberg, J. M. Melack, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**, L12809 (2007).
- Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
- J. A. Downing, C. Duarte, in *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, G. E. Likens, Ed. (Elsevier, Oxford, 2009), vol. 1.
- International Commission on Large Dams, *The Dams Newsletter*, issue no. 5 (May 2006), p. 2; www.icold-cigb.net/images/PDF-multilangue/newsletter5.pdf.
- P. Forster *et al.*, in *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, S. Solomon *et al.*, Eds. (Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2007), chap. 2.
- J. M. Melack *et al.*, *Glob. Change Biol.* **10**, 530 (2004).
- We thank J. Cole, N. Than Duc, and H. Marotta for valuable input. This study was supported by the Swedish Research Council (VR) and the Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences, and Spatial Planning (Formas). Analyses of global surface water area come from the ITAC (Integrating the Terrestrial and Aquatic Carbon Cycle) Working Group supported by the National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, a center funded by NSF (grant DEB-94-21535), the University of California at Santa Barbara, and the state of California.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/331/6013/50/DC1

Materials and Methods

SOM Text

References

23 August 2010; accepted 19 November 2010

10.1126/science.1196808

¹Department of Thematic Studies—Water and Environmental Studies, Linköping University, SE-58183 Linköping, Sweden. ²Department of Limnology, Evolutionary Biology Centre, Uppsala University, SE-75236 Uppsala, Sweden. ³Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Organismal Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA. ⁴Department of Geological Sciences, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden. ⁵Department of Ecology, University Federal of Rio de Janeiro, 68020 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: david.bastviken@liu.se